



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,194	09/12/2003	Kamaljit S. Paul	29723	9368	
23482 75	90 02/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	AW SERVICE, S.C.		ROBERT, EI	DUARDO C	
100 W LAWRE THIRD FLOOF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
APPLETON, V	VI 54911		3732		
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005	5	

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>-</u>
	10/662,194	KAMALJIT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eduardo C. Robert	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 L</u>	December 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 93-108 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>93-108</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin		_	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer, note the attache	d Office Action of form P10-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	raccived	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)	4) [T] Intervious	Summary (PTO-413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/4/05</u>.</li> </ol>	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's amendment filed on December 6, 2004 necessitates the revision of the claims withdrawn from consideration in the previous office action. It is noted that claims 94, 99, 102, and 107, as amended, read on the elected Species III, i.e. Figures 7 and 8. Thus, claims 93-108 are considered in the following action because of applicant's amendment.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on December 6, 2004 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,503,250 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 93-108 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baccelli (WO 99/04718).

Art Unit: 3732

Baccelli discloses a bone support assembly comprising a bone support plate (10) and a second plate element (10) mounted to the bone support plate (see Figure 1). The bone support plate assembly has the ability to receive bone fasteners (see Figure 1) and it has the ability to accommodate post procedural settling. The bone support plate and second plate element slide with respect to each other. Each of the bone support plate and second plate element includes bone-fastener-receiving apertures (see Figure 1). The different part of the assembly of Baccelli can be made from titanium or stainless steel (see page 12, lines 6-8). With regard to the recitation in the claims of the phrase 'adapted to", it is noted that it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on December 6, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that claims 93 and 98 positively recites "accommodating post procedural settling ....", it is noted that this is functional language since it is an intended use of the assembly is not a structural limitation. It is noted that the recitation in the claims that "when mounted to such first and second underlying bone structures, accommodating post procedural settling of at least one of such first and second bone structure ..." is totally functional, it is clear that accommodating post procedural settling is achieved when the

Application/Control Number: 10/662,194

Art Unit: 3732

device is mounted to first and second underlying bones. This language is futuristic and conditional in character because it depends from how the device is used.

In response to applicant's argument that Baccelli does not teach or suggest "accommodating any post-procedural settling", it is noted that the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983). Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eduardo C. Robert whose telephone number is 571-272-4719. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on 571-273-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tall-free).

Eduardo C. Robert Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

E.C.R.